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Abstract. The development of information technology impacts the pattern of society in collecting social funds. One of the popular technologies used in social fundraising efforts is YouTube. This research is in the form of field research which aims to analyze the effect of vlog content and YouTuber image on the decision to donate with the awareness of donating as an intervening variable. This study uses quantitative methods. Sources of data were obtained through research questionnaires which were distributed directly. A total of 110 questionnaires were successfully collected. Funds were analyzed using a variant-based structural equation model (SEM) analysis, known as Partial Least Square (PLS). The results of data analysis prove that vlog content, and YouTuber imagery have a significant influence on awareness of donation. This research also confirms that the vlog content and YouTube image affect the decision to donate through awareness to donate. Furthermore, awareness of donating is proven to significantly influence the decision to donate.
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BACKGROUND

The rapid development of information technology makes it easier for people to interact. Community groups ultimately utilize the ease of interaction in raising funds or fundraising. The latest example is a fundraising activity carried out by a group of people in Batu Layar Village, West Lombok Regency, West Nusa Tenggara Province. Fundraising activities are carried out to help sufferers of bladder exstrophy who need a lot of money for treatment. Through the YouTube channel social media: “Mbah Ringgo” the fundraising activity managed to raise funds of around IDR 125,000,000. What is clear is that social media, especially YouTube, can now be used to carry out social activities in the form of fundraising.

Previous research related to the success of fundraising was conducted by Beier & Wagner (2015) which proved that fundraising through social media is determined by the skills in building networks and the efforts of content creators. Content creators need to use their network to mobilize people to donate and persuade them to participate. Furthermore Kaur & Gera (2017) also researched successful fundraising strategies. The results of this study prove that the success of a fundraising activity depends on the maker's efforts. Crowdfunding creators must be able to persuade people to donate, and one of them is through social media.

Several strategies are needed to attract people to donate by utilizing social media. According to Barthelemy & Irwansyah, (2019) strategies that can be used are the preparation of messages and communicators. Lee & Kottler, (2011) suggest that the purpose of compiling messages is to develop an effective message for the intended audience in order to achieve the communication goals that have been set. The trick is to form a statement according to the values, the benefits that can be achieved, face obstacles, invite action, and test the message.

In delivering this message, it is necessary to have Vlog content on YouTube. Vlog content on YouTube can raise the awareness of others to make donations. This is because vlog content on YouTube will be an entry point for the public to get more information. Thus the YouTubers create exciting content. Vlog content on YouTube is the content and delivery of video messages that discuss the daily activities and shows his credibility, attractiveness and power as a Vlogger where the content and delivery of video messages must be interesting, understandable, and acceptable to the audience.

The second factor is the communicator, thus adequate communicators are needed in order to form public awareness to donate. The vital aspect of the communicator when conveying the message to the target audience is related to the attractiveness of the source and the credibility of the original. For the message to be received by the target audience, the communicator must have credibility. Credibility here contains 2 (two) elements, namely: the first is expertise. That is, the communicator must have expertise or skills that are recognized by the target audience. The second element is trustworthiness. The source of the message must be able to build trust in the target audience (Poentarie, 2013).
It is in this context that an image from YouTubers is needed. YouTuber's image is the view of others on YouTube based on the information obtained. Thus, the better the YouTuber's image will attract other people to see the content uploaded to the YouTube account they manage. This follows Mehulkumar (2005) opinion that image can determine a person's belief in a particular brand. This trust is expected to form public awareness to donate. Thus the decision to donate to infants with bladder extrophy in Batu Layar Village, Senggigi, West Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province can be determined by the vlog content (message) and YouTuber image (as the messenger). However, vlog content as a (message) and YouTuber's image (as a messenger) does not always cause people to donate but can form awareness to donate.

Donation awareness is the ability of the community to identify and remember that donating is an act that can help others. Donation awareness includes starting from a condition where people do not want to donate until they believe that the donation is necessary. In this condition, an organization that raises funds can be easily recognized and remembered by the public. Thus, awareness of donating can be a variable that bridges the relationship between vlog content as a (message) and YouTuber image (as a messenger) on the decision to donate. This can happen because social media has created a new culture in disseminating information. This attracts many companies and non-profit organizations to use social media as a communication strategy to build public awareness (Mulyono, Syamsun, & Najib, 2016). The awareness that has been built can ultimately help the community to decide to donate.

The research results conducted by David, Sondakh, & Harilama (2017) prove that Vlog content can form positive attitudes. That is, increasing the content of a vlog will positively impact certain attitudes. Next is the research conducted by Sura, Ahn, & Lee (2017), which proves that content is a profitable way to strengthen one's trust and attitude toward online donations. Mehulkumar (2005) research found that image can determine a person's trust in a particular brand. Next is the study conducted by Putra & Mudiantono, (2014) proving that appearance affects awareness. Research conducted by Sattler, Morehead, Popp, & McEvoy, (2019) showed that The quality of the message would determine the community's decision to donate. Furthermore, Aufa & Trapsila (2018) research indicates that external factors such as charity projects, features of internet technology, and features of networking sites can influence the general trust of donors in channelling infaq through social media networking sites.

Research conducted by Aziz, Nurwahidin, & Chailis (2019) proves that platform innovation influences people to donate through online-based crowdfunding. Salsabila & Hasbi, (2021) also confirm that image influences the decision to donate. Likewise, with the research conducted by Abdillah, Rochaida, & Lestasi (2019). Research conducted by Sari, Ridwan, & Sugianto (2019) proves that awareness significantly affects the decision to donate at kitabisa.com. Research conducted by Khairunnisa, Ningrum, Huda, & Rini, (2020) also shows that the awareness of presenting substantially influences the decision to donate. Likewise, research conducted by Azizah, Hasbi, & Yetty (2021) also proves that awareness significantly influences the decision to donate.

Based on the description above, the researcher is interested in analyzing "how are the influence of vlog content and YouTuber image on the decision to donate with awareness of donating as an intervention variable in Batu Layar Village, Senggigi, West Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province". This research needs to be done because not
many studies make awareness a variable that intervenes in the donating decision process. Whereas awareness to donate is a process starting from a condition where people do not want to donate until they believe that the donation is necessary.

THEORETICAL REVIEW

Model of Consumer Behaviour

One widely used model used to research consumer behaviour is the Model of Consumer Behavior offered by Engel-Kollat-Blackwell (EKB). There are five focuses when consumers make a purchase decision: problem recognition, evaluation of alternatives, purchase, and post-purchase outcomes. These five stages are the most widely accepted, as evidenced in most consumer behaviuor textbooks. (Darley, Blankson, & Luethge, 2010).

The first stage is problem recognition. The process begins when it recognizes a problem or need. This need can be triggered by internal stimuli (such as hunger or thirst) or external stimuli (such as viewing an advertisement), which becomes a driving factor. By gathering information from many consumers, marketers can identify the triggers that most frequently trigger interest in a product category. They can then develop marketing strategies that attract consumer interest and lead to the second stage in the information search process (Kotler, 2000).

The second stage is information search. Consumers who have recognized the problem tend to actively seek more information about the product they need. At this stage, consumers will look for various details about the products required through multiple media such as the internet, talk to friends, and visit stores to learn more about the products needed. Sources of consumer information include personal sources (family, friends, neighbours, acquaintances), commercial sources (advertising, websites, salespeople, dealers, packaging), public sources (mass media, consumer rating organizations), and experience (Kotler, 2000).

The third stage is the evaluation of alternatives. After consumers have searched for information, how do consumers process the data to determine the final assessment? At this stage, consumers evaluate the various products available. Consumers generally try to find products that can satisfy their needs. In looking for certain benefits from a product, consumers see each product as a collection of attributes with various abilities to provide benefits to meet their needs. However, the attractive attributes to buyers vary according to consumer characteristics. For example, attributes sought in food might be benefits, taste and price. In addition, consumers differ as to which product attributes they perceive to be most relevant to their purpose in purchasing a product (Kotler, 2000).

The fourth stage is the purchase decision. In the previous step, consumers form preferences for the product to be selected and can also include an intention to buy the most preferred product. However, two factors can determine the purchase of a product. The first factor is the attitude of other people. The extent to which other people's attitudes reduce one's choice depends on two things: (1) the intensity of the other person's negative attitude towards the consumer's preferred alternative, and (2) the consumer's motivation to comply with the wishes of others (Kotler, 2000). The second factor is an unexpected factor that may change behavioural intentions (Kotler, 2000).
The fifth stage outcomes. After the consumer decides to buy the product, it can be seen whether the consumer is satisfied or not. This is why the marketer's job does not end when the product is sold, but the marketer must monitor customer satisfaction after making a purchase. Post-purchase satisfaction is a function of the closeness between consumer expectations and the product's perceived performance (Priscilla, Barbera, & Mazursky, 1983). If performance fails to meet expectations, consumers are disappointed, but if it meets expectations, the customer is satisfied; if it exceeds expectations, consumers will be happy. This feeling of satisfaction can ultimately affect whether consumers will buy the product again and speak positively or unfavourably about the product to others (Kotler, 2000).

Vlog Content

This study aims to explore the potential of YouTube social media as a medium to attract donors. YouTube is a video-sharing web where users can create, watch and share video clips for free. Generally, YouTube videos are video clips, movies, TV and videos made by the users themselves (Aisyah, Bahfiarti, & Sonni, 2018). Thus a vlog is a video that is shared via YouTube with a specific purpose.

A vlog, as the name implies is a video blog. More specifically, a Vlog is a video containing opinions, stories or daily activities which are usually written on a blog. Since the emergence of YouTube in 2005, making Vlogs has become increasingly popular (David et al., 2017). Vlogs are actually not much different from blogs, namely as a sharing medium through the internet. The difference is that vlogs are digital content that utilizes audio-visual media. These videos are currently commonly found on YouTube, while blogs are content writers or sharing via internet media with/through writing. A vlog is a video on the web containing life, opinions, and interests in something intentionally broadcast to many people for free. Generally, vlogs present interesting, entertaining, funny, educational information and others (Aisyah et al., 2018). Even vlogs are often used as content to attract people to donate.

To attract people's interest, YouTubers create exciting content. Vlog content in YouTuber is content and delivery of video messages that discuss a person's daily activities that show his credibility, attractiveness, and power as a Vlogger where the content and delivery of video messages must be interesting, understandable, and acceptable.

YouTuber Image

Image is how other parties perceive a company, a person, a committee, or a particular activity (Ardianto, Komala, & Karlinah, 2014). Meanwhile, according to Jefkins (2015) image is an impression obtained based on one's knowledge and understanding of facts or reality. Thus the image is basically the view of the other party towards someone based on the information obtained. Meanwhile, YouTuber or content creator is a term to refer to someone who creates interesting video content which is then uploaded through the YouTube account he manages (Supriono & Yahya, 2019). A YouTuber or content creator means someone whose job is to create content in the form of writing, images, or videos that will be displayed on popular media.

It can be concluded that the YouTuber's image is the other party's view of YouTube based on the information obtained. Thus, the better the YouTuber's image will attract other people to see the content uploaded to the YouTube account they manage.
This follows Mehulkumar (2005) opinion that image can determine a person's trust in a particular brand.

**Awareness to Donate**

Awareness is closely related to one's knowledge of something (Sari et al., 2019). Knowledge-related attributes encourage one's awareness of the need to engage in a particular Action. The knowledge possessed will shape a person's perception of the object. The public's awareness to donate will stick in their minds and become the basis for action in giving donations (Schiffman, O’Cass, Paladino, & Carlson, 2013).

Information from the public about high-intensity donating activities will release their awareness to donate. Donation awareness is the ability of the community to identify and remember that donating is an act that can help others. Donation awareness includes starting from a condition where people do not want to donate until they believe that donating is necessary. In this condition, an organization that is doing fundraising can be easily recognized and remembered by the public.

**Donate to Decision**

Decision-making occurs in all fields and levels of human activity and thought. Hence, it is not surprising that so many disciplines try to analyze and make systematics of the entire decision process. A decision made by a person is an action. So it can be concluded that the decision to donate is a decision to transact. In this study, the decision to donate is an activity to donate which is defined as a person's last step in carrying out an active transaction, namely making a donation (Sari et al., 2019).

The decision to donate is an action from the community to want to participate in fundraising activities. Of the various factors that influence consumers in purchasing a product or service, consumers usually always consider the quality, price, and products already known by the public.

**Relationship Between Variables and Hypothesis Development**

**Vlog Content and Awareness Donate**

The research results conducted by Fazrin & Sukoco (2021) prove that social media can increase public awareness to make donations. Furthermore, David, Sondakh, & Harilama (2017) research proves that Vlog content can form positive attitudes. This means that the better the content of a vlog, it will positively impact certain attitudes. Next is the research conducted by Sura et al. (2017) with the research title “Factors influencing intention to donate via social network site (SNS): From Asian's perspective”. The results of this study are content is a profitable way to strengthen one's trust and attitude towards online donations so that it will have an impact on increasing the funds that can be raised in online donations. From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H1: Vlog content has a significant effect on Donation Awareness.**

**YouTuber Image of Donation Awareness**

Mehulkumar (2005) research found that image can determine a person's trust in a particular brand. Next is the study conducted Putra & Mudiantono, (2014) proving that image affects awareness. Thus credibility, which is part of the image, can affect
awareness of the object of behaviour. From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H2: YouTuber image has a significant effect on Donation Awareness.**

**Vlog Content and Donation Decision**

Research conducted by Sattler et al., (2019) The quality of the message will determine the community's decision to donate. Furthermore, research conducted by Aufa & Trapsila (2018) shows that external factors such as charity projects, features of internet technology, and networking sites' features can influence the general trust of donors in channeling *infaq* through social networking sites. From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H3: Vlog content has a significant effect on the decision to donate.**

**Image of YouTuber and Decision to donate**

Research conducted by Aziz, Nurwahidin, & Chailis (2019) proves that platform innovation influences people to donate through online-based crowdfunding Salsabila & Hasbi, (2021) also demonstrate that image influences the decision to donate. Likewise, with the research conducted by Abdillah et al. (2019). From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H4: YouTuber image has a significant effect on the decision to donate.**

**Donation Awareness and Donation Decision**

Research conducted by Sari et al., (2019) proves that awareness has a significant effect on the decision to donate at Kitabisa.com. Research conducted by Khairunnisa et al. (2020) also shows that the awareness of donating significantly influences the decision to donate. Likewise, Azizah et al., (2021) research also proves that awareness substantially affects the decision to donate. From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H5: Donation awareness has a significant effect on the decision to donate.**

**Mediating Effects of Vlog Content and YouTuber Image on Donation Decisions through Donation Awareness**

The awareness to donate that the community has will stick to their minds and become the basis for action in making donations (Schiffman et al., 2013). Awareness of donating in the context of research mediates the relationship between vlog content and YouTuber image. This is shown by Ari (2018) showing that awareness can be a mediating variable of the relationship between information and decisions. From the results of previous studies, the hypothesis proposed in this study is

**H6: Donation Awareness mediates the relationship between vlog content and the Donation Decision.**
H7: Donation Awareness mediates the relationship between YouTuber image and Donation Decision.

Research Model

From previous studies, vlog content (VC) and YouTuber image (YI) have a relationship with donation awareness (DA) and donation decisions (DC), and donation awareness (DA) can act as a mediating variable between vlog content (VC) and YouTuber image (YI). The emergence of several contradictions in the test results proves that there are still research gaps on this topic, so this research is based on the research model shown in Figure 1.

Note:

= Direct effect
= Indirect effect

Figure 1. Research Model

RESEARCH METHODS

This research is quantitative. The quantitative research method used in this research is to examine the influence between variables in the context of the decision to donate. The research was conducted The locus of this research was in Senggigi, West Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province. This location is a location where a group of people is doing fundraising through YouTube media for babies with bladder extrophy. The operational definition of the variables in this study can be seen in Table 1.

The population in this study were all baby donors with bladder extrophy who donated online. The research sample was 110, which was taken using the convenience sampling method because this method is easy to manage, efficient and, cost-effective, efficient (Jeger, Putnick, & Bornstein, 2017). Data was collected by distributing questionnaires to donors in August-October 2021. The questionnaire contains
statements with a range of answers using five answer choices on a Likert scale of strongly disagree to agree strongly.

Data analysis in this study was carried out with the help of the SmartPLS program to measure the validity and reliability of the research instrument, test the effect of one variable on another variable with the partial least square technique, and test the mediating impact between vlog content and YouTuber image variables on donation decisions through donation awareness.

### Table 1. Operational Definition of the Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Definition of the Variables</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vlog Content (X1)                       | David, Sondakh, & Harilama (2017) | 1) Vlogger credibility  
2) Vlogger appeal  
3) Power of vloggers.  
4) Interesting vlog contents  
5) The contents of the vlog are understandable  
6) Vlog content is acceptable. | Likert |
| YouTuber Image (X2)                     | Jatmiko (2013) | 1) YouTuber appeal  
2) Trust in YouTubers  
3) YouTuber skills. | Likert |
| Donation Awareness (Y1)                 | Sari et al. (2019) | 1) Top of mind  
2) Recognition  
3) Recall. | Likert |
| Donation Decision (Y2)                  | Sari et al. (2019) | 1) Stability  
2) Habits  
3) Provide recommendations to donate  
4) Donate back. | Likert |

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### Respondent's Description

The data collection results showed that the respondents in this study consisted of 35.45% women and 64.55% men. From the education level of the respondents, 39.09% were high school graduates, 21.82% were undergraduates and 22.73% were junior high and elementary school graduates. From the age aspect, most research respondents are aged 20 to 30 years. The number of respondents reached 61.82%, meaning that the research respondents are the younger generation who understand social media, including online donations.

### Test the Validity and Reliability of Research Instruments

The test of the research instrument was carried out by testing the validity and reliability. Instrument testing is done by analyzing the outer model. The outer model can also be interpreted as each variable being related to other latent variables. This is done to determine the validity and reliability of the variable indicators. Evaluation of the measurement model consists of indicators of reliability, discriminant validity, internal consistency, and convergent validity (Hair, Risher, Sarstedt, & Ringle, 2019).

#### 1. Indicator reliability

Indicator reliability is the value of the loading factor (λ) which describes the magnitude of the correlation between measurement items (indicators) and their constructs (latent variables) (Chawala & Joshi, 2019). A loading factor/outer loading
value above 0.7 is an ideal condition. The indicator with this value is said to be significant to measure the construct (latent variable). The value of convergent validity can be seen from the value of the outer loading of latent variables through the indicators.

Figure 2 shows the results of the analysis of the loading factor value, namely the close relationship between the variables and their indicators. As shown in Figure 2, the loading factor provides information that all indicators have values above 0.7. Therefore, the model can be used in this study.

2. Discriminant validity

Discriminant validity is used to test whether the indicators of a construct are not highly correlated with indicators from other constructs. Suppose the correlation between the constructs and the measurement items is more significant than the size of the different constructs. In that case, the correlation indicates that the latent construct can predict block size better than other block sizes. Table 2 shows the value on the diagonal number of 0.816; 0.819; 0.784; and 0.794 whose numbers are above 0.7, so the data in this study.
### Table 2. Discriminant Validity Fornell-Larcker Criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>YI</th>
<th>DC</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>VC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YouTuber Image (YI)</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Decision (DC)</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Awareness (DA)</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlog Content (VC)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).

The data in Table 3 shows that the value of the validity of each variable is higher than the value of the other two variables (numbers in bold). The test results prove that all indicator variables have a higher value when compared to other variables. Thus, all constructs or latent variables have good discriminant validity.

### Table 3. Discriminant Validity according to Cross Loading Criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indikator</th>
<th>Vlog Content</th>
<th>YouTuber Image</th>
<th>Donation Awareness</th>
<th>Donation Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC.1</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.2</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.3</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.4</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.5</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.6</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.7</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.8</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.9</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.10</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.11</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC.12</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.1</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.2</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.3</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.4</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.5</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.6</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.7</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.8</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YI.9</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.1</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.2</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.3</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.4</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.5</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.6</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.7</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.8</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA.9</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.1</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.2</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.3</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.4</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.5</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.6</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.7</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC.8</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).

### 3. Internal Consistency and Convergent Reliability

A reliability test is used to prove whether the instrument is able to measure the constructs reliably or not. The SEM method with PLS is used to measure whether a
construct is reliable or not with its indicators, so this study uses three methods, namely Cronbach Alpha's, composite reliability, and AVE (Average Variance Extracted). A construct is said to be reliable if the value of composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha is above 0.7 (Hair, Sarstedt, Hopkins, & Kuppelwieser, 2014). Convergent reliability is measured by looking at the number (AVE) and the instrument is declared reliable if the AVE value is greater than 0.5 (Hair et al., 2019). Table 4 shows the value of Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability of all variables having a value greater than 0.6. All variables also have a value because the AVE value is greater than 0.5. From these results, it can be said that all research variables have been measured with reliable indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YouTuber Image (YI)</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Decision (DC)</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Awareness (DA)</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlog Content (VC)</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).

Evaluation of the Structural Model (Inner Model)

1. Coefficient of Determination ($R^2$) and Predictive Relevance ($Q^2$)

The coefficient of determination essentially measures how far the model's ability to explain variations in endogenous variables is, so the construct is referred to as the R-square value. A structural model (inner model) is a structural model to predict causality between latent variables. Based on the R-square value shown in Table 5, the R-square value given by the Vlog Content and YouTuber Image variables to donation awareness is 0.230, which means that Vlogs Content and YouTuber Image influence 23.0% of the donation awareness variable. Furthermore, the R-square value given by the Vlogs Content and YouTuber Image variables and donation awareness to the donation decision is 0.902, which means that 90.22% of the donation decision variable is influenced by Vlog Content and YouTuber Image and donation awareness.

According to Hair et al., (2019) the value of $Q^2$ can be used to measure how well the observational values generated by the research model and also parameter estimates are. Q-square value greater than 0 indicates that the research model has good predictive relevance. As shown in table 5, the test results suggest that this study has good predictive relevance because it has a $Q^2$ value of 0.131 and 0.589 which indicates the model has good predictive relevance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>$Q^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vlog Content $\rightarrow$ Donation Awareness</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTuber Image $\rightarrow$ Donation Awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlog Content $\rightarrow$ Donation Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTuber Image $\rightarrow$ Donation Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Awareness $\rightarrow$ Donation Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).
2. Hypothesis Test

The significance test can be known by looking at the t-statistics and p-values to determine whether a hypothesis is accepted or rejected. Hypothesis testing in this study uses a one-tailed test to obtain the hypothesis if the p-values are less than 0.05. Based on the data in Table 6, the results of hypothesis testing are as follows:

a. Vlog content has a p-value of 0.004 which means that the vlogs content variable has a significant and positive effect on donation awareness. These results prove that hypothesis H1 in this study is accepted.

b. YouTuber image has a p-value of 0.005, meaning that the YouTuber image variable has a significant and positive effect on donation awareness. These results prove that hypothesis H2 in this study is accepted.

c. Vlog content has a p-value of 0.967, which means that the vlog content variable has no significant and positive effect on the donation decision. These results prove that hypothesis H3 in this study is rejected.

d. YouTuber image has a p-value of 0.227 which means that the YouTuber image variable has no significant and positive effect on the donation decision. These results prove that hypothesis H4 in this study is rejected.

e. Donation awareness has a p-value of 0.000, meaning that the donation awareness variable has a significant and positive effect on the donation decision. These results prove that the hypothesis H5 in this study is accepted.

Table 6. Hypothesis Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>p-Values</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1 Vlog Content → Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 YouTuber Image → Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 Vlog Content → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 YouTuber Image → Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).

3. Testing the Mediating Variable Hypothesis

This test is to determine the effect of vlogs content and YouTuber image on donation decisions through donation awareness mediation. Based on Table 7, the magnitude of the p-value is 0.005. Thus the results of this study provide evidence that hypotheses H6 and H7 can be accepted. This means that the influence of vlogs content and YouTuber image can influence the donation decision through donation awareness.

Table 7. Intervening Variable Hypothesis Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Original Sample</th>
<th>Sample Mean</th>
<th>P Values</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H6 Vlog Content → Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7 YouTuber Image → Donation Awareness → Donation Decision</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed (2022).
Discussions

The results of testing the H1 hypothesis prove that there is a significant effect between vlog content and awareness of donation. The results of this study are in line with the results of research conducted by Fazrin & Sukoco (2021) which also proves that the use of social media can increase public awareness to make donations. Furthermore, this study also supports the research results conducted by David, Sondakh, & Harilama (2017) proving that vlog content can form positive attitudes. This means that the better the content of a vlog, it will positively impact certain attitudes. Furthermore, this research also supports research conducted by Sura et al. (2017) proving that vlog content can form positive attitudes. This means that the better the content of a vlog, it will positively impact certain attitudes.

The results of testing the H2 hypothesis prove that the image of a YouTuber has a significant influence on people's awareness to donate. The results of this study support the results of research conducted by Mehulkumar (2005) which also proves that image can determine a person's trust in a particular brand. This study also supports Jatmiko (2013) research, demonstrating that credibility positively correlates with behavioural intentions. Thus the credibility which is part of the image can affect awareness of the object of behaviour.

The hypothesis testing H3 proves that the vlog content does not influence the decision to donate. Thus the results of this study are not in line with the research conducted by Sattler et al., (2019) where the content or messages in certain media will determine the public's decision to make donations. This research also does not support the research conducted by Aufa & Trapsila (2018) which shows that external factors such as charity projects, internet technology features, and networking site features can influence the general trust of donors in channeling infaq through social networking sites. In addition, internal factors such as religiosity have also been shown to influence donor decisions.

The results of testing the H4 hypothesis show that YouTube's image has no influence on the decision to donate. This study proves that a YouTuber image does not directly influence the decision to donate. This is because YouTuber “Mbah Ringgo is not well known by the public so that it cannot have a direct impact on the audience to make donations. Thus this research is not in line with research conducted by Aziz, Nurwahidin, & Chailis (2019) which proves that platform innovation influences people to donate through online-based crowdfunding.

The results of testing the H5 hypothesis prove that the awareness of donating influences the decision to donate. The awareness to donate that the community has will stick to their minds and become the basis for action in making donations (Schiffman et al., 2013). Information obtained from the public about high-intensity donating activities will increase their awareness to donate. Donation awareness is the ability of the community to identify and remember that donating is an act that can help others. Donation awareness includes starting from a condition where people do not want to donate until they believe it is necessary. In this condition, if an organization that raises funds can be easily recognized and remembered by the public. The results of this study
are in line with research conducted by Sari et al., (2019) proving that awareness has a significant effect on the decision to donate.

The results of hypothesis testing H6 prove that vlog content influences the decision to donate through awareness of donation. This study indicates that the vlog content on the YouTube channel "Mbah Ringgo" cannot attract public interest to make donations directly. This is because the content presented does not directly invite the audience to donate, but the content creator only conveys that at this time the YouTube channel "Mbah Ringgo" is holding a fundraiser for babies with Bladder Exstrophy Sufferers in Batu Layar Village, Senggigi, West Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province. Thus the content only raises the awareness of the audience to donate.

The results of hypothesis testing H7 prove that YouTuber's image influences the decision to donate through awareness of donation. It can be concluded that the image of YouTubers influences the decision to donate through the awareness of donating to donate. The YouTuber image in this study proved not to influence the decision to donate directly but had an indirect influence through awareness to donate. YouTuber image on the "Mbah Ringgo" channel may not be very positive in the eyes of the public, but because the content presented is able to increase the awareness of the audience to donate.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It turns out that the awareness of donating is one of the important variables in determining the decision to donate. In other words, the formation of donation awareness in the fundraising process must be considered. Following the results of research and discussions that have been carried out, it can be concluded that vlog content and YouTube image significantly influence donation awareness. This study also proves that donation awareness has a significant effect on the decision to donate. The interesting thing is that vlogs content and YouTuber image do not directly influence the donation decision but through donation awareness. The study results prove that the vlog content and YouTube images will not directly influence the public to donate but must go through the awareness of donating.

The results of this study can provide information to funding seekers through social media, and it will work effectively when the content presented can shape the awareness of potential donors. Meanwhile, to donors and the wider community, donations through social media must also pay attention to social media managers. In the context of this research, fundraising activities are community-based, so the level of trust in fundraising activities is relatively high. From the results of this study, the recommendation proposed is to raise funds through social media; the formation of public awareness to donate is essential. This is because the awareness of donating is a direct antecedent in the behaviour of donating. Content developed in fundraising activities must pay attention to aspects that can form public awareness to donate.

This study has limitations, such as the limited number of samples. Furthermore, respondents are all people who have participated in fundraising activities for Babies with Bladder Exstrophy Sufferers in Batu Layar Village, Senggigi, West Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province, so the level of awareness in donating is very high. Thus, the
awareness to donate may be formed because of the needs of babies with exstrophy, not from the aspect of YouTube content and image.

From the study's limitations, further research can conduct research by considering the purpose of fundraising. For example, it can examine how vlog content, and YouTube images influence people with cancer and victims of natural disasters. In addition, further research can also analyse different demographic groups, for example, only the z generation or the millennial generation.
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